

注視コントロール

- Aguilar, C., & Castet, E. (2011). Gaze-contingent simulation of retinopathy: Some potential pitfalls and remedies. *Vision Research*, 51, 997-1012.
- Bernard, J.-B., Scherlen, A.-C., & Castet, E. (2007). Page mode reading with simulated scotomas: A modest effect of interline spacing on reading speed. *Vision Research*, 47, 3447-3459.
- Brennan, S. E., Chen, X., Dickinson, C. A., Neider, M. B., & Zelinsky, G. J. (2008). Coordinating cognition: The costs and benefits of shared gaze during collaborative search. *Cognition*, 106, 1465-1477.
- Calvo, M. G., & Avero, P. (2008). Affective priming of emotional pictures in parafoveal vision: Left visual field advantage. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 8:41-53.
- Calvo, M. G., & Eysenck, M. W. (2008). Affective significance enhances covert attention: Roles of anxiety and word familiarity. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Perception*, 61, 1669 - 1686.
- Cañal-Bruland, R., Lotz, S., Hagemann, N., Schorer, J., & Strauss, B. (2011). Visual span and change detection in soccer: An expertise study. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 302-310.
- Chan, J. P. K., Kamino, D., Binns, M. A., & Ryan, J. D. (2011) Can changes in eye movement scanning alter the age-related deficit in recognition memory?. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2:92. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00092.
- Cornelissen, F. W., Bruin, K. J., & Kooijman, A. C. (2005). The influence of artificial scotomas on eye-movements during visual search. *Optometry & Visual Science*, 82, 27-35.
- Cornelissen, F. W., & van den Dobbelen, J. J. (1999). Heading detection with simulated visual field defects. *Visual Impairment Research*, 1, 71-84.
- Dalrymple, K. A., Birmingham, E., Bischof, W. F., Barton, J. J. S., & Kingstone, A. (2011). Experiencing simultanagnosia through windowed viewing of complex social scenes. *Brain Research*, 1367, 265-277.
- Dalrymple, K. A., Bischof, W. F., Cameron, D., Barton, J. J. S., & Kingstone, A. (2010). Simulating simultanagnosia: Spatially constricted vision mimics local capture and the global processing deficit. *Experimental Brain Research*, 202, 445-455.
- Fornos, A. P., Sommerhalder, J., & Pelizzone, M. (2011). Reading with a simulated 60-channel implant. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 5:57. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2011.00057.
- Fornos, A. P., Sommerhalder, J., Rappaz, B., Pelizzone, M., & Safran, A. B. (2006). Processes involved in oculomotor adaptation to eccentric reading. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 47, 1439 - 1447.
- Fornos, A. P., Sommerhalder, J., Rappaz, B., Safran, A. B., & Pelizzone, M. (2005). Simulation of artificial vision, III: Do the spatial or temporal characteristics of stimulus pixelization really matter? *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, 46, 3906-3912.
- Foulsham, T., Teszka, R., & Kingstone, A. (2011). Saccade control in natural images is shaped by the information visible at fixation: evidence from asymmetric gaze-contingent windows. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73, 266-283.
- Foulsham, T., & Underwood, G. (2011). If visual saliency predicts search, then why? Evidence from normal and gaze-contingent search tasks in natural scenes. *Cognitive*

Computation, 3, 48-63.

- Gilman, E., & Underwood, G. (2003). Restricting the field of view to investigate the perceptual spans of pianists. *Visual Cognition*, 10, 201-232.
- Gilman, E., & Underwood, G. (2003). The perceptual span during music reading. In J. Hyönä, R. Radach & H. Deubel (Eds.), *The Mind's Eyes: Cognitive and Applied Aspects of Eye Movement Research* (pp. 175-191). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Garaas, T. W., Nieuwenhuis, T., & Pomplun, M. (2008). A Gaze-contingent paradigm for studying continuous saccadic adaptation. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 168, 334-340.
- Garaas, T. W., & Pomplun, M. (2011). Distorted object perception following whole-field adaptation of saccadic eye movements. *Journal of Vision*, 11(1):2, 1-11, <http://www.journalofvision.org/content/11/1/2>, doi:10.1167/11.1.2.
- Glaholt, M. G., & Reingold, E. M. (2009). The time course of gaze bias in visual decision tasks. *Visual Cognition*, 17, 1228-1243.
- Glaholt, M. G., & Reingold, E. M. (2011). Eye movement monitoring as a process tracing methodology in decision making research. *Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, & Economics*, 4, 125-146.
- Greene, H. H., & Rayner, K. (2001). Eye movements and familiarity effects in visual search. *Vision Research*, 41, 3763-3773.
- Häikiö, T., Bertram, R., Hyönä, J., & Niemi, P. (2009). Development of the letter identity span in reading: Evidence from the eye movement moving window paradigm. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 102, 167-181.
- Hsiao, J. H.-W., & Cottrell, G. (2008). Two fixations suffice in face recognition. *Psychological Science*, 19, 998 - 1006.
- Johnson, A., & Gurnsey, R. (2010). Size scaling compensates for sensitivity loss produced by a simulated central scotoma in a shape-from-texture task. *Journal of Vision*, 10(12):18, 1-16, <http://www.journalofvision.org/content/10/12/18>, doi:10.1167/10.12.18.
- Kramer, A. F., Boot, W. R., McCarley, J. S., Peterson, M. S., Colcombe, A., & Scialfa, C. T. (2006). Aging, memory and visual search. *Acta Psychologica*, 122, 288-304.
- Lingnau, A., Schwarzbach, J., & Vorberg, D. (2008). Adaptive strategies for reading with a forced retinal location. *Journal of Vision*, 8(5):6, 1-18, <http://journalofvision.org/8/5/6/>, doi:10.1167/8.5.6.
- Macedo, A. F., Crossland, M. D., & Rubin, G. S. (2008). The effect of retinal image slip on peripheral visual acuity. *Journal of Vision*, 8(14):16, 1-11, <http://journalofvision.org/8/14/16/>, doi:10.1167/8.14.16.
- Miellat, S., O'Donnell, P. J., Sereno, S. C. (2009). Parafoveal magnification: Visual acuity does not modulate the perceptual span in reading. *Psychological Science*, 20, 721-728.
- Mitra, A. R., Abegg, M., Viswanathan, J., & Barton, J. J. S. (2010). Line bisection in simulated homonymous hemianopia. *Neuropsychologia*, 48, 1742-1749.
- Pomplun, M., Reingold, E. M., & Shen, J. (2001). Investigating the visual span in comparative search: The effects of task difficulty and divided attention. *Cognition*, 81, B57-B67.
- Pomplun, M., Reingold, E. M., & Shen, J. (2001). The effects of peripheral and parafoveal cueing and masking on saccadic selectivity in a gaze-contingent window paradigm. *Vision Research*, 41, 2757-2769.

- Rayner, K., Castelhana, M. S., & Yang, J. (2009). Eye movements and the perceptual span in older and younger readers. *Psychology and Aging*, 24, 755-760.
- Rayner, K., & Johnson, R. L. (2005). Letter-by-letter acquired dyslexia is due to the serial encoding of letters. *Psychological Science*, 16, 530-534.
- Reingold, E. M. (2002). On the perceptual specificity of memory representations. *Memory*, 10, 365-379.
- Reingold, E. M., & Loschky, L. C. (2002). Saliency of peripheral targets in gaze-contingent multiresolutional displays. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers*, 34, 491-499.
- Reingold, E. M., & Stampe, D. M. (2000). Saccadic inhibition and gaze contingent research paradigms. In Kennedy, Alan, Radach, Ralph et al. (Eds.) *Reading as a perceptual process* (pp. 119-145). Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland/Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Scherlen, A.-C., Bernard, J.-P., Calabrese, A., & Castet, E. (2008). Page mode reading with simulated scotomas: Oculo-motor patterns. *Vision Research*, 48, 1870-1878 .
- Simion, C., & Shimojo, S. (2006). Early interactions between orienting, visual sampling and decision making in facial preference. *Vision Research*, 46, 3331-3335.
- Simpson, S. A., Abegg, M., & Barton, J. J. S. (2011). Rapid adaptation of visual search in simulated hemianopia. *Cerebral Cortex*, 21, 1593-1601.
- Sommerhalder, G., Oueghlani, E., Bagnoud, B., Leonards, U., Safran, A. B., & Pelizzone, P. (2003). Simulation of artificial vision: I. Eccentric reading of isolated words, and perceptual learning. *Vision Research*, 43, 269-283.
- Sommerhalder, J., Rappaz, B., de Haller, R., Fornos, A. P., Safran, A. B., & Pelizzone, M. (2004). Simulation of artificial vision: II. Eccentric reading of full-page text and the learning of this task. *Vision Research*, 44, 1693-1706.
- Van Belle, G., De Graef, P., Verfaillie, K., Rossion, B., & Lefèvre, P. (2010). Face inversion impairs holistic perception: Evidence from gaze-contingent stimulation. *Journal of Vision*, 10(5):10, 1-13, <http://journalofvision.org/content/10/5/10>, doi:10.1167/10.5.10.
- Van Belle, G., Lefèvre, P., Laguesse, R., Busigny, T., de Graef, P., Verfaillie, K., & Rossion, B. (2010). Feature-based processing of personally familiar faces in prosopagnosia: Evidence from eye-gaze contingency. *Behavioural Neurology*, 23, 255-257.
- Varsori, M., Perez-Fornos, A., Safran, A. B., & Whatham, A. R. (2004). Development of a viewing strategy during adaptation to an artificial central scotoma. *Vision Research*, 44, 2691-2705.
- Yang, S.-N. (2009). Effects of gaze-contingent text changes on fixation duration in reading. *Vision Research*, 49, 2843-2855.
- Angele, B., Slattery, T., Yang, J., Kliegl, R., & Rayner, K. (2008). Parafoveal processing in reading: Manipulating n+1 and n+2 previews simultaneously. *Visual Cognition*, 16, 697 - 707.
- Deutsch, A., Frost, R., Pelleg, S., Pollatsek, A., & Rayner, K. (2003). Early morphological effects in reading: Evidence from parafoveal preview benefit in Hebrew. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 10, 415-422.
- Deutsch, A., & Frost, R., Pollatsek, A., & Rayner, K. (2000). Early morphological effects in word recognition in Hebrew: Evidence from parafoveal preview benefit. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 15, 487-506.
- Drieghe, D., Pollatsek, A., Juhasz, B. J., & Rayner, K. (2010). Parafoveal

processing during reading is reduced across a morphological boundary. *Cognition*, 116, 136-142.

- Eiter, B. M., & Inhoff, A. W. (2010). Visual word recognition during reading is followed by subvocal articulation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, 36, 457-470.
- Häikiö, T., Hyönä, J., Bertram, R. (2010). Development of parafoveal processing within and across words in reading: Evidence from the boundary paradigm. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 63, 1982-1998.
- Hohenstein, S., Laubrock, J., & Kliegl, R. (2010). Semantic preview benefit in eye movements during reading: A parafoveal fast-priming study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 36, 1150-1170.
- Hollingworth, A., & Luck, S. J. (2009). The role of visual working memory in the control of gaze during visual search. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 71, 936-949.
- Hyönä, J., Bertram, R., & Pollatsek, A. (2004). Are long compound words identified serially via their constituents? Evidence from an eye-movement contingent display change study. *Memory & Cognition*, 32, 523-532.
- Hyönä, J., & Häikiö, T. (2005). Is emotional content obtained from parafoveal words during reading? An eye movement analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 46, 475-483.
- Inhoff, A. W., Connine, C., Eiter, B., Radach, R., & Heller, D. (2004). Phonological representation of words in working memory during sentence reading. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 11, 320-325.
- Inhoff, A. W., Eiter, B. M., & Radach, R. (2005). Time course of linguistic information extraction from consecutive words during eye fixations in reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 31, 979-995.
- Inhoff, A. W., Radach, R., Eiter, B., & Juhasz, B. (2003). Distinct subsystems for the parafoveal processing of spatial and linguistic information during eye fixations in reading. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 56A, 803-827.
- Inhoff, A. W., Solomon, M. S., Seymour, B. A., & Radach, R. (2008). Eye position changes during reading fixations are spatially selective. *Vision Research*, 48, 1027-1039.
- Kliegl, R., Risse, S., & Laubrock, J. (2007). Preview benefit and parafoveal-on-foveal effects from word $n + 2$. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*, 33, 1250-1255.
- McDonald, S. A. (2006). Parafoveal preview benefit in reading is only obtained from the saccade goal. *Vision Research*, 46, 4416-4424.
- Morgan, J. L., & Meyer, A. S. (2005). Processing of extrafoveal objects during multiple-object naming. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, 31, 428-442.
- Nakayama, M., Sears, C. R., & Lupker, S. J. (2010). Testing for lexical competition during reading: Fast priming with orthographic neighbors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*, 36, 477-492.
- Perea, M., Nakatani, C., & van Leeuwen, C. (2011). Transposition effects in reading Japanese Kana: Are they orthographic in nature? *Memory & Cognition*, 39, 700-707.
- Pollatsek, A., & Hyönä, J. (2005). The role of semantic transparency in the processing of Finnish compound words. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 20, 261-290.
- Rayner, K., Castelano, M.S., & Yang, J. (2010). Preview benefit during eye

fixations in reading for older and younger readers. *Psychology and Aging*, 25, 714-718.

- Risse, S., & Kliegl, R. (2011). Adult age differences in the perceptual span during reading. *Psychology and Aging*, 26, 451-460.
- Slattery, T. J., Schotter, E. R., Berry, R. W., & Rayner, K. (2011). Parafoveal and foveal processing of abbreviations during eye fixations in reading: Making a case for case. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 37, 1022-1031.
- Tsai, J.-L., Lee, C.-Y., Tzeng, O. J. L., Hung, D. L., & Yen, N.-S. (2004). Use of phonological codes for Chinese characters: Evidence from processing of parafoveal preview when reading sentences. *Brain and Language*, 91, 235-244.
- Wang, C.-A., & Inhoff, A. W. (2010). The influence of visual contrast and case changes on parafoveal preview benefits during reading. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 63, 805-817.
- Wang, C.-A., Inhoff, A. W., & Radach, R. (2009). Is attention confined to one word at a time? The spatial distribution of parafoveal preview benefits during reading. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 71, 1487-1494.
- Wang, C.-A., Tsai, J.-L., Inhoff, A. W., & Tzeng, O. J.-L. (2009). Acquisition of linguistic information to the left of fixation during the reading of Chinese text. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 24, 1097-1123.
- White, S. J., Bertram, R., & Hyönä, J. (2008). Semantic processing of previews within compound words. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition*, 34, 988-993.
- Yan, M., Richter, E., Shu, H., & Kliegl, R. (2009). Chinese readers extract semantic information from parafoveal words during reading. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 16, 561-566.
- Yan, M., Kliegl, R., Shu, H., Pan, J., & Zhou, X. (2010). Parafoveal load of word n+1 modulates preprocessing effectiveness of word n+2 in Chinese reading. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 36, 1669-1676.
- Yang, J., Wang, S., Xu, Y., & Rayner, K. (2009). Do Chinese readers obtain preview benefit from word n + 2? Evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*. 35, 1192-1204.
- Yen, M.-H., Tsai, J.-L., Tzeng, O. J.-L., & Hung, D. L. (2008). Eye movements and parafoveal word processing in reading Chinese. *Memory & Cognition*, 36, 1033-1045.
- Chapman, C. S., Hunt, A. R. & Kingstone, A. (2007). Squeezing uncertainty from saccadic compression. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 1(1):2, 1-5, <http://jemr.org/>.
- Dahlstrom-Hakki, I., & Pollatsek, A. (2006). Limits on integrating motion information across saccades. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 68, 44-54.
- Dickinson, C. A., & Intraub, H. (2008). Transsaccadic representation of layout: What is the time course of boundary extension? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 34, 543-555.
- Godijn, R., & Theeuwes, J. (2002). Programming of exogenous and endogenous saccades: Evidence for a competitive integration model. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 28, 1039-1054.
- Gordon, R. D., & Vollmer, S. D. (2010). Episodic representation of diagnostic and nondiagnostic object colour. *Visual Cognition*, 18, 728-750.
- Gordon, R. D., Vollmer, S. D., & Frankl, M. L. (2008). Object continuity and the

transsaccadic representation of form. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 70, 667-679.

■Gysen, V., De Graef, P., & Verfaillie, K. (2002). Detection of intrasaccadic displacements and depth rotations of moving objects. *Vision Research*, 42, 379-391.

■Gysen, V., Verfaillie, K., & De Graef, P. (2002). Transsaccadic perception of translating objects: Effects of landmark objects and visual field position. *Vision Research*, 42, 1785-1796.

■Gysen, V., Verfaillie, K., & De Graef, P. (2002). The effect of stimulus blanking on the detection of intrasaccadic displacements of translating objects. *Vision Research*, 42, 2021-2030.

■Henderson, J. M., & Pierce, G. L. (2008). Eye movements during scene viewing: Evidence for mixed control of fixation durations. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 15, 566-573.

■Intraub, H. & Dickinson, C. A. (2008). False memory 1/20th of a second later: What the early onset of boundary extension reveals about perception. *Psychological Science*, 19, 1007-1014.

■Mruczek, R. E. B., & Sheinberg, D. L. (2007). Activity of inferior temporal cortical neurons predicts recognition choice behavior and recognition time during visual search. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 27, 2825-2836.

■Van Zoest, W., & Donk, M. (2010). Awareness of the saccade goal in oculomotor selection: Your eyes go before you know. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 19, 861-871.

■Velichkovsky, B. M., Dornhoefer, S. M., Kopf, M., Helmert, J., & Joos, M. (2002). Change detection and occlusion modes in road-traffic scenarios. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 5, 99-109.

■Wittenberg, M., Bremmer, F., & Wachtler, T. (2008). Perceptual evidence for saccadic updating of color stimuli. *Journal of Vision*, 8(14):9, 1-9, <http://journalofvision.org/8/14/9/>, doi:10.1167/8.14.9.

■Yang, S.-N., & McConkie, G. W. (2001). Eye movements during reading: A theory of saccade initiation times. *Vision Research*, 41, 3567-3585.

■Beck, M. R., Peterson, M. S., Boot, W. R., Vomela, M., & Kramer, A. F. (2006). Explicit memory for rejected distractors during visual search. *Visual Cognition*, 14, 150-174.

■Boot, W. R., McCarley, J. S., Kramer, A. F., & Peterson, M. S. (2004). Automatic and intentional memory processes in visual search. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 11, 854-861.

■Dickinson, C. A., & Zelinsky, G. J. (2005). Marking rejected distractors: A gaze-contingent technique for measuring memory during search. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 12, 1120-1126.

■Holm, L., & Mäntylä, T. (2007). Memory for scenes: Refixations reflect retrieval. *Memory & Cognition*, 35, 1664-1674.

■Mäntylä, T., & Holm, L. (2006). Gaze control and recollective experience in face recognition. *Visual Cognition*, 14, 365-386.

■McCarley, J. S., Kramer, A. F., & Peterson, M. S. (2002). Overt and covert object-based attention. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 9, 751-758.