心理言語

∎Acha, J., & Perea, M. (2008). The effect of neighborhood frequency in reading: Evidence with transposed-letter neighbors. Cognition, 108, 290-300.

∎Andrews, S., Miller, B., & Rayner, K. (2004). Eye movements and morphological segmentation of compound words: There is a mouse in mousetrap. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 16, 285-311.

Archibald, J. (2005). Second language phonology as redeployment of L1 phonological knowledge. The Canadian Journal of Linguistics, 50, 285-314.

∎Bai, X., Yan, G., Liversedge, S. P., Zang, C., & Rayner, K. (2008). Reading spaced and unspaced Chinese text: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 34, 1277-1287.

∎Bertram, R., & Hyönä, J. (2003). The length of a complex word modifies the role of morphological structure: Evidence from eye movements when reading short and long Finnish compounds. Journal of Memory & Language, 48, 615-634.

∎Bertram, R., Hyönä, J., & Laine, M. (2000). The role of context in morphological processing: Evidence from Finnish. Language and Cognitive Processes, 15, 367-388.

∎Bertram, R., Pollatsek, A., & Hyönä, J. (2004). Morphological parsing and the use of segmentation cues in reading Finnish compounds. Journal of Memory and Language, 51, 325-345.

∎Blythe, H. I., Häikiö, T., Bertam, R., Liversedge, S. P., & Hyönä, J. (2011). Reading disappearing text: Why do children refixate words? Vision Research, 51, 84-92.

∎Breen, M., & Clifton, C. Jr. (2011). Stress matters: Effects of anticipated lexical stress on silent reading. Journal of Memory and Language, 64, 153-170.

∎Burton, C., & Daneman, M. (2007). Compensating for a limited working memory capacity during reading: Evidence from eye movements. Reading Psychology, 28, 163-186.

∎Camblin, C.C., Gordon, P.C., & Swaab, T.Y. (2007). The interplay of discourse congruence and lexical association during sentence processing: Evidence from ERPs and eye tracking. Journal of Memory & Language, 56, 103-128.

Caplan, D. (2010). Task effects on BOLD signal correlates of implicit syntactic processing. Language and Cognitive Processes, 25, 866-890.

∎Chen, M., & Ko, H. (2011). Exploring the eye-movement patterns as Chinese children read texts: a developmental perspective. Journal of Research in Reading, 34, 232-246.

∎Chincotta, D., Hyönä, J., & Underwood, G. (1997). Eye fixations, speech rate and bilingual digit span: Numeral reading indexes fluency not word length. Acta Psychologica, 97, 253-275.

Cunnings, I., & Clahsen, H. (2007). The time-course of morphological constraints: Evidence from eye-movements during reading. Cognition, 104, 476-494.

∎Cunnings, I., & Clahsen, H. (2008). The time-course of morphological constraints: A study of plurals inside derived words. The Mental Lexicon, 3, 149-175.

∎Daneman, M., Hannon, B., & Burton, C. (2006). Are there age-related differences in shallow semantic processing of text? Evidence from eye movements. Discourse Processes, 42, 177-203.

∎Daneman, M., Lennertz, T., & Hannon, B. (2007). Shallow semantic processing of

text: Evidence from eye movements. Language and Cognitive Processes, 22, 83 - 105.

▪Daneman, M., & Reingold, E. M. (2000). Do readers use phonological codes to activate word meanings? Evidence from eye movements. In A. Kennedy, R. Radach, D. Heller & J. Pynte (Eds.), Reading as a perceptual process (pp. 447-473). Elsevier: Amsterdam.

▪Davis, C. J., Perea, M., & Acha, J. (2009). Re(de)fining the orthographic neighborhood: The role of addition and deletion neighbors in lexical decision and reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance. 35, 1550-1570.

▪Delogu, F., Vespignani, F., & Sanford, A. J. (2010). Effects of intensionality on sentence and discourse processing: Evidence from eye-movements. Journal of Memory and Language, 62, 352-379.

▪Desmet, T., de Baecke, C., & Brysbaert, M. (2002). The influence of referential discourse context on modifier attachment in Dutch. Memory & Cognition, 30, 150-157.

▪Desmet, T., de Baecke, C., Drieghe, D., Brysbaert, M., & Vonk, W. (2006). Relative clause attachment in Dutch: On-line comprehension corresponds to corpus frequencies when lexical variables are taken into account. Language and Cognitive Processes, 21, 453-485.

▪Desmet, T., & Gibson, E. (2003). Disambiguation preferences and corpus frequencies in noun phrase conjunction. Journal of Memory and Language, 49, 353-374.

▪Deutsch, A., & Bentin, S. (2001). Syntactic and semantic factors in processing gender agreement in Hebrew: Evidence from ERPs and eye movements. Journal of Memory and Language, 45, 200-224.

▪Deutsch, A., Frost, R., Pelleg, S., Pollatsek, A., & Rayner, K. (2003). Early morphological effects in reading: Evidence from parafoveal preview benefit in Hebrew. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 10, 415-422.

▪Deutsch, A., & Frost, R., Pollatsek, A., & Rayner, K. (2000). Early morphological effects in word recognition in Hebrew: Evidence from parafoveal preview benefit. Language and Cognitive Processes, 15, 487-506.

▪Deutsch, A., & Rayner, K. (1999). Initial fixation location effects in reading Hebrew words. Language and Cognitive Processes, 14, 393-421.

▪Drieghe, D. (2008). Foveal processing and word skipping during reading. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 15, 856-860.

▪Drieghe, D., Brysbaert, M., & Desmet, T. (2005). Parafoveal-on-foveal effects on eye movements in text reading: Does an extra space make a difference? Vision Research, 45, 1693-1706.

▪Drieghe, D., Brysbaert, M., Desmet, T., & De Baecke, C. (2004). Word skipping in reading: On the interplay of linguistic and visual factors. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 16, 79-103.

▪Drieghe, D., Desmet, T., & Brysbaert, M. (2007). How important are linguistic factors in word skipping during reading? British Journal of Psychology, 98, 157-171.

▪Duñabeitia, J. A., Avilés, A., & Carreiras, M. (2008). NoA's ark: Influence of the number of associates in visual word recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 15, 1072 - 1077.

▪Duñabeitia, J. A., Perea, M., & Carreiras, M. (2009). Eye movements when reading words with $YMßOL$ and NUM83R5: There is a cost. Visual Cognition, 17, 617-631.

▪Dussias, P. E. (2004). Parsing a first language like a second: The erosion of L1 parsing strategies in Spanish-English bilinguals. International Journal of Bilingualism, 8, 355-371.

▪Dussias, P. E., & Sagarra, N. (2007). The effect of exposure on syntactic parsing in Spanish-English bilinguals. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 10, 101-116.

▪Duyck, W., Van Assche, E., Drieghe, D., & Hartsuiker, R. J. (2007). Visual word recognition by bilinguals in a sentence context: Evidence for nonselective lexical access. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 33, 663-679.

▪Engbert, R., & Nuthmann, A. (2008). Self-consistent estimation of mislocated fixations during reading. PLoS ONE 3(2): e1534. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001534.

▪Featherstone, C. R., & Sturt, P. (2010). Because there was a cause for concern: An investigation into a word-specific prediction account of the implicit-causality effect. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 3-15.

Felser, C., Sato, M., & Bertenshaw, N. (2009). The on-line application of binding Principle A in English as a second language. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 12, 485-502.

▪Feng, G. (2006). Eye movements as time-series random variables: A stochastic model of eye movement control in reading. Cognitive Systems Research, 7, 70-95.

▪Feng, G. (2009). Mixed responses: Why readers spend less time at unfavorable landing positions. Journal of Eye Movement Research, 3(2):2, 1-26.

▪Feng, G., Miller, K., Shu, H., & Zhang, H. (2001). Rowed to recovery: The use of phonological and orthographic information in reading Chinese and English. Journal of Experimental Pscyhology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 27, 1079-1100.

▪Feng, G., Miller, K., Shu, H., & Zhang, H. (2009). Orthography and the development of reading processes: An eye-movement study of Chinese and English. Child Development, 80, 720-735.

▪Filik, R., & Moxey, L. M. (2010). The on-line processing of written irony. Cognition, 116, 421-436.

▪Fitzsimmons, G., & Drieghe, D. (2011). The influence of number of syllables on word skipping during reading. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 18, 736-741.

▪Foraker, S., & McElree, B. (2007). The role of prominence in pronoun resolution: Active versus passive representations. Journal of Memory and Language, 56, 357-383.

▪Frisson, S., & McElree, B. (2008). Complement coercion is not modulated by competition: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 34, 1-11.

▪Frisson, S., Niswander-Klement, E., & Pollatsek, A. (2008). The role of semantic transparency in the processing of English compound words. British Journal of Psychology, 99, 87-107.

▪Gollan, T. H., Slattery, T. J., Goldenberg, D., van Assche, E., Duyck, W., & Rayner, K. (2011). Frequency drives lexical access in reading but not in speaking: The frequency-lag hypothesis. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 140, 186-209.

▪Gordon, P. C., Hendrick, R., Johnson, M., & Lee, Y. (2006). Similarity-based interference during language comprehension: Evidence from eye tracking during reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 32, 1304-1321.

▪Greenberg, S. N., Inhoff, A. W., & Weger, U. W. (2006). The impact of letter

detection on eye movement patterns during reading: Reconsidering lexical analysis in connected text as a function of task. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 59, 987-995.

■Grondelaers, S., Speelman, D., Drieghe, D., Brysbaert, M., & Geeraerts, D. (2009). Introducing a new entity into discourse: Comprehension and production evidence for the status of Dutch er "there" as a higher-level expectancy monitor. Acta Psychologica, 130, 153-160.

■Harris, J., Pylkkänen, L., McElree, B., & Frisson, S. (2008). The cost of question concealment: Eye-tracking and MEG evidence. Brain and Language, 107, 44-61.

■Hawelka, S., Gagl, B., & Wimmer, H. (2010). A dual-route perspective on eye movements of dyslexic readers. Cognition, 115, 367-379.

■Hsieh, Y., Boland, J. E., Zhang, Y., & Yan, M. (2009). Limited syntactic parallelism in Chinese ambiguity resolution. Language and Cognitive Processes, 24, 1227-1264.

■Huang, Y.-T., & Gordon, P. C. (2011). Distinguishing the time course of lexical and discourse processes through context, coreference, and quantified expressions. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 37, 966-978.

■Huestegge, L. (2010). Effects of vowel length on gaze durations in silent and oral reading. Journal of Eye Movement Research, 3(5):5,1-18.

■Huestegge, L., & Bocianski, D. (2010). Effects of syntactic context on eye movements during reading. Advances in Cognitive Psychology, 6, 79-87.

■Huestegge, L., Kunert, H.-J., & Radach, R. (2010). Long-term effects of cannabis on eye movement control in reading. Psychopharmacology, 209, 77-84.

■Hyönä, J., & Bertram, R. (2004). Do frequency characteristics of non-fixated words influence the processing of non-fixated words during reading? European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 16, 104-127.

■Hyönä, J., & Bertram, R. (2011). Optimal viewing position effects in reading Finnish. Vision Research, 51, 1279-1287.

■Hyönä, J., & Häikiö, T. (2005). Is emotional content obtained from parafoveal words during reading? An eye movement analysis. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 46, 475-483.

■Hyönä, J., & Laine, M. (2002). A morphological effect obtains for isolated words but not for words in sentence context. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 14, 417-433.

■Hyönä, J., & Lorch, R. F., Jr. (2004). Effects of topic headings on text processing: Evidence from adult readers' eye fixation patterns. Learning and Instruction, 14, 131-152.

■Hyönä, J., Lorch, R. F. Jr., & Kaakinen, J. K. (2002). Individual differences in reading to summarize expository text: Evidence from eye fixation patterns. Journal of Educational Psychology, 94, 44-55.

■Hyönä, J., & Nurminen, A. M. (2006). Do adult readers know how they read? Evidence from eye movement patterns and verbal reports. British Journal of Psychology, 97, 31-50.

■Hyönä, J., & Pollatsek, A. (1998). Reading Finnish compound words: Eye fixations are affected by component morphemes. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 24, 1612-1627.

■Hyönä, J., & Vainio, S. (2001). Reading morphologically complex clause structures in Finnish. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 13, 451-474.

■Inhoff, A. W., Connine, C., Eiter, B., Radach, R., & Heller, D. (2004). Phonological representation of words in working memory during sentence reading. Psychonomic

Bulletin & Review, 11, 320-325.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Connine, C., & Radach, R. (2002). A contingent speech technique in eye movement research on reading. Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers, 34, 471-480.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Eiter, B. M., & Radach, R. (2005). Time course of linguistic information extraction from consecutive words during eye fixations in reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 31, 979-995.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Greenberg, S. N., Solomon, M., & Wang, C.-A. (2009). Word integration and regression programming during reading: A test of the E-Z reader 10 model. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance, 35, 1571-1584.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Radach, R., Eiter, B., & Juhasz, B. (2003). Distinct subsystems for the parafoveal processing of spatial and linguistic information during eye fixations in reading. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 56A, 803-827.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Seymour, B. A., Schad, D., & Greenberg, S. (2010). The size and direction of saccadic curvatures during reading. Vision Research, 50, 1117-1130.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Solomon, M. S., Seymour, B. A., & Radach, R. (2008). Eye position changes during reading fixations are spatially selective. Vision Research, 48, 1027-1039.

▪Inhoff, A. W., Starr, M. S., Solomon, M., & Placke, L. (2008). Eye movements during the reading of compound words and the influence of lexeme meaning. Memory & Cognition, 36, 675-687.

▪Irmen, L. (2007). What's in a (role) name? Formal and conceptual aspects of comprehending personal nouns. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 36, 431-456.

▪Johnson, R. L. (2009). The quiet clam is quite calm: Transposed-letter neighborhood effects on eye movements during reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 35, 943-969.

▪Joosten, F., De Sutter, G., Drieghe, D., Grondelaers, S., Hartsuiker, R., & Speelman, D. (2007). Dutch collective nouns and conceptual profiling. Linguistics, 45, 85 - 132.

▪Juhasz, B. J., & Berkowitz, R. N. (2011). Effects of morphological families on English compound word recognition: a multitask investigation. Language and Cognitive Processes, 26, 653-682.

▪Juhasz, B. J., Gullick, M. M., & Sheslar, L. W. (2011). The effects of age-of-acquisition on ambiguity resolution: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Eye Movement Research 4(1):4, 1-14.

▪Kaakinen, J. K., & Hyönä, J. (2005). Perspective effects on expository text comprehension: Evidence from think-aloud protocols, eyetracking, and recalls. Discourse Processes, 40, 239-257.

▪Kaakinen, J. K., & Hyönä, J. (2007). Strategy use in the reading span test: An analysis of eye movements and reported encoding strategies. Memory, 15, 634-646.

▪Kaakinen, J. K., & Hyönä, J. (2007). Perspective effects in repeated reading: An eye movement study. Memory & Cognition, 35, 1323-1336.

▪Kaakinen, J. K., & Hyönä, J. (2008). Perspective-driven text comprehension. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 22, 319 - 334.

▪Kaakinen, J. K., & Hyönä, J. (2010). Task effects on eye movements during reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 36, 1561-1566.

▪Kaakinen, J. K., Hyönä, J., & Keenan, J. M. (2002). Perspective effects on online

text processing. Discourse Processes, 33, 159-173.

■Kaakinen, J. K., Hyönä, J., & Keenan, J. M. (2003): How prior knowledge, WMC, and relevance of information affect eye fixations in expository text. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 29, 447-457.

■Keating, G. D. (2009). Sensitivity to violations of gender agreement in native and nonnative Spanish: An eye-movement investigation. Language Learning, 59, 503-535.

■Kliegl, R., & Engbert, R. (2005). Fixation durations before word skipping in reading. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 12, 132-138.

■Kliegl, R., Grabner, E., Rolfs, M., & Engbert, R. (2004). Length, frequency, and predictability effects of words on eye movements in reading. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 16, 262-284.

■Kliegl, R., Nuthmann, A., & Engbert, R. (2006). Tracking the mind during reading: The influence of past, present, and future words on fixation durations. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 135, 12-35.

■Kliegl, R., Risse, S., & Laubrock, J. (2007). Preview benefit and parafoveal-on-foveal effects from word n + 2. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance, 33, 1250-1255.

■Knoeferle, P., & Crocker, M. W. (2009). Constituent order and semantic parallelism in on-line comprehension: eye-tracking evidence from German. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 62, 2338 - 2371.

■Krügel, A., & Engbert, R. (2010). On the launch-site effect for skipped words during reading. Vision Research, 50, 1532-1539.

■Kuperman, V., Bertram, R., & Baayen, R. H. (2008). Morphological dynamics in compound processing. Language and Cognitive Processes, 23, 1089 -1132.

■Kuperman, V., Dambacher, M., Nuthmann, A., & Kliegl, R. (2010). The effect of word position on eye movements in sentence and paragraph reading. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 1838-1857.

■Kuperman, V., Schreuder, R., Bertram, R., & Baayen, R. H. (2009). Reading polymorphemic Dutch compounds: Toward a multiple route model of lexical processing. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 35, 876-895.

■Kuperman, V., & Van Dyke, J. A. (2011). Effects of individual differences in verbal skills on eye-movement patterns during sentence reading. Journal of Memory and Language, 65, 42-73.

■Kwon, N., Lee, Y., Gordon, P., Kluender, R., & Polinsky, M. (2010). Cognitive and linguistic factors affecting subject/object asymmetry: An eye-tracking study of pre-nominal relative clauses in Korean. Language, 86, 546-582.

■Ledoux, K., Gordon, P. C., Camblin, C. C., & Swaab, T. Y. (2007). Coreference and lexical repetition: Neural mechanisms of discourse integration. Memory & Cognition, 35, 801-815.

■Lee, Y., Lee, H., & Gordon, P. C. (2007). Linguistic complexity and information structure in Korean: Evidence from eye-tracking during reading. Cognition, 104, 495-534.

■Lee, Y., Nam, K., & Gordon, P. C. (2009). Processing of the Korean Eojoel ambiguity. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 38, 345-362.

■Levy, R., Bicknell, K., Slattery, T., & Rayner, K. (2009). Eye movement evidence that readers maintain and act on uncertainty about past linguistic input. PNAS, 106, 21086-21090.

▪Li, X., Liu, P., & Rayner, K. (2011). Eye movement guidance in Chinese reading: Is there a preferred viewing location? Vision Research, 51, 1146-1156.

▪Martin, A. E., & McElree, B. (2008). A content-addressable pointer mechanism underlies comprehension of verb-phrase ellipsis. Journal of Memory and Language, 58, 879-906.

▪Martin, A. E., & McElree, B. (2011). Direct-access retrieval during sentence comprehension: Evidence from Sluicing. Journal of Memory and Language, 64, 327-343.

▪Matsuki, K., Chow, T., Hare, M., Elman, J. L., Scheepers, C., & McRae, K. (2011). Event-based plausibility immediately influences on-line language comprehension Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 37, 913-934.

▪McDonald, S. (2006). Effects of number-of-letters on eye movements during reading are independent from effects of spatial word length. Visual Cognition, 13, 89-98.

▪McDonald, S. A. (2006). Parafoveal preview benefit in reading is only obtained from the saccade goal. Vision Research, 46, 4416-4424.

▪McDonald, S. A., Spitsyna, G., Shillcock, R. C., Wise, R. J. S., & Leff, A. P. (2006). Patients with hemianopic alexia adopt an inefficient eye movement strategy when reading text. Brain, 129, 158-167.

▪Miellet, S., O'Donnell, P. J., Sereno, S. C. (2009). Parafoveal magnification: Visual acuity does not modulate the perceptual span in reading. Psychological Science, 20, 721-728.

▪Mitchell, D. C., Shen, X., Green, M. J., & Hodgson, T. L. (2008). Accounting for regressive eye-movements in models of sentence processing: A reappraisal of the Selective Reanalysis hypothesis. Journal of Memory and Language, 59, 266-293.

▪Moxey, L. M., & Filik, R. (2010). The effects of character desire on focus patterns and pronominal reference following quantified statements. Discourse Processes, 47, 588-616.

▪Nakayama, M., Sears, C. R., & Lupker, S. J. (2010). Testing for lexical competition during reading: Fast priming with orthographic neighbors. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance, 36, 477-492.

▪Nazir, T. A., Ben-Boutayab, N., Decoppet, N., Deutsch, A., & Frost, R. (2004). Reading habits, perceptual learning, and recognition of printed words. Brain and Language, 88, 294-311.

▪Nuthmann, A., & Engbert, R. (2009). Mindless reading revisited: An analysis based on the SWIFT model of eye-movement control. Vision Research, 49, 322-336.

▪Nuthmann, A., Engbert, R., & Kliegl, R. (2005). Mislocated fixations during reading and the inverted optimal viewing position effect. Vision Research, 45, 2201-2217.

▪Nuthmann, A., Engbert, R., & Kliegl, R. (2007). The IOVP effect in mindless reading: Experiment and modeling. Vision Research, 47, 990-1002.

▪Nuthmann, A. & Kliegl, R. (2009). An examination of binocular reading fixations based on sentence corpus data. Journal of Vision, 9(5):31, 1-28, http://journalofvision.org/9/5/31/, doi:10.1167/9.5.31.

▪Panizza, D., Chierchia, G., & Clifton, C. Jr. (2009). On the role of entailment patterns and scalar implicatures in the processing of numerals. Journal of Memory and Language, 61, 503-518.

▪Patson, N. D., & Warren, T. (2010). Eye movements when reading implausible sentences: Investigating potential structural influences on semantic integration. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 1516-1532.

■Patson, N. D., & Warren, T. (2011). Building complex reference objects from dual sets. Journal of Memory and Language, 64, 443-459.

■Perea, M., & Acha, J. (2009). Space information is important for reading. Vision Research, 49, 1994-2000.

■Perea, M., Acha, J., & Carreiras, M. (2009). Eye movements when reading text messaging (txt msgng). The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 62, 1560-1567.

■Pickering, M. J., McElree, B., Frisson, S., Chen., L., & Traxler, M. J. (2006) Underspecification and aspectual coercion. Discourse Processes, 42, 131-155.

■Pollatsek, A., Drieghe, D., Stockall, L., & de Almeida, R. G. (2010). The interpretation of ambiguous trimorphemic words in sentence context. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 17, 88-94.

■Pollatsek, A., & Hyönä, J. (2005). The role of semantic transparency in the processing of Finnish compound words. Language and Cognitive Processes, 20, 261-290.

P■ollatsek, A. Hyönä, J., & Bertram, R. (2000). The role of morphological constituents in reading Finnish compound words. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 26, 820-833.

■Pollatsek, A., Slattery, T. J., & Juhasz, B. (2008). The processing of novel and lexicalised prefixed words in reading. Language and Cognitive Processes, 23, 1133 - 1158.

■Radach, R., Huestegge, L., & Reilly, R. (2008). The role of global top-down factors in local eye-movement control in reading. Psychological Research, 72, 675-688.

■Radach, R., Inhoff, A., & Heller, D. (2004). Orthographic regularity gradually modulates saccade amplitudes in reading. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 16, 27-51.

■Rayner, K., Castelhano, M.S., & Yang, J. (2009). Eye movements and the perceptual span in older and younger readers. Psychology and Aging, 24, 755-760.

■Rayner, K., Chace, K. H., Slattery, T. J., & Ashby, J. (2006) Eye movements as reflections of comprehension processes in reading. Scientific Studies of Reading, 10, 241-255.

■Rayner, K., Li, X., Juhasz, B. J., & Yan, G. (2005). The effect of word predictability on the eye movements of Chinese readers. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 12, 1089-1093.

■Rayner, K., Slattery, T. J., & Bélanger, N. N. (2010). Eye movements, the perceptual span, and reading speed. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 17, 834-839.

■Rayner, K., Slattery, T, J., Drieghe, D., & Liversedge, S. P. (2011). Eye movements and word skipping during reading: Effects of word length and predictability. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 37, 514-528.

■Rayner, K., Yang, J., Castelhano, M. S., & Liversedge, S. P. (2011). Eye movements of older and younger readers when reading disappearing text. Psychology and Aging, 26, 214-223.

■Reichle, E. D., Reineberg, A. E., & Schooler, J. W. (2010). Eye movements during mindless reading. Psychological Science, 21, 1300-1310.

■Reilly, R. & Radach, R. (2006). Some empirical tests of an interactive activation model of eye movement control in reading. Cognitive Systems Research, 7, 34-55.

■Reingold, E. M., & Rayner, K. (2006). Examining the word identification stages hypothesized by the E-Z reader model. Psychological Science, 17, 742-746.

■Reingold, E. M, Yang, J., & Rayner, K. (2010). The time course of word frequency

and case alternation effects on fixation times in reading: Evidence for lexical control of eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 36, 1677-1683.

▪Ren, G.-Q., & Yang, Y. (2010). Syntactic boundaries and comma placement during silent reading of Chinese text: evidence from eye movements. Journal of Research in Reading, 33, 168-177.

▪Roberts, L., Gullberg, M., & Indefrey, P. (2008). Online pronoun resolution in L2 discourse: L1 influence and general learner effects. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 30, 333-357.

▪Roy-Charland, A., Saint-Aubin, J., Klein, R. M., & Lawrence, M. (2007). Eye movements as direct tests of the GO model for the missing-letter effect. Perception & Psychophysics, 69, 324-337.

▪Roy-Charland, A., Saint-Aubin, J., Lawrence, M. A., & Klein, R. M. (2009). Solving the chicken and egg problem of letter detection and fixation duration in reading. Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 71, 1553-1562.

▪Sainio, M., Hyönä, J., Bingushi, K., & Bertram, R. (2007). The role of interword spacing in reading Japanese: An eye movement study. Vision Research, 47, 2575-2584.

▪Saint-Aubin, J., Kenny, S., & Roy-Charland, A. (2010). The role of eye movements in the missing-letter effect revisited with the rapid serial visual presentation procedure. Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 64, 47-52.

▪Saint-Aubin, J., & Klein, R. M. (2001). Influence of parafoveal processing on the missing-letter effect. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance, 27, 318-334.

▪Schad, D. J., Nuthmann, A., & Engbert, R. (2010). Eye movements during reading of randomly shuffled text. Vision Research, 50, 2600-2616.

▪Scherlen, A.-C., Bernard, J.-P., Calabrese, A., & Castet, E. (2008). Page mode reading with simulated scotomas: Oculo-motor patterns. Vision Research, 48, 1870-1878 .

▪Sears, C. R., Campbell, C. R., & Lupker, S. J. (2006). Is there a neighborhood frequency effect in English? Evidence from reading and lexical decision. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance, 32, 1040-1062.

▪Sheridan, H., Reingold, E. M., & Daneman, M. (2009). Using puns to study contextual influences on lexical ambiguity resolution: Evidence from eye movements. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 16, 875-881.

▪Shu, H., Zhou, W., Yan, M., & Kliegl, R. (2011). Font size modulates saccade-target selection in Chinese reading. Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 73, 482-490.

▪Siyanova-Chanturia, A., Conklin, K., & Schmitt, N. (2011). Adding more fuel to the fire: An eye-tracking study of idiom processing by native and non-native speakers. Second Language Research, 27, 251-272.

Siyanova-Chanturia, A., Conklin, K., & van Heuven, W. J. B. (2011). Seeing a phrase "time and again" matters: The role of phrasal frequency in the processing of multiword sequences. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 37, 776-784.

▪Slattery, T. J. (2009). Word misperception, the neighbor frequency effect, and the role of sentence context: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance. 35, 1969-1975.

▪Slattery, T. J., & Rayner, K. (2010). The influence of text legibility on eye movements during reading. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 24, 1129-1148.

▪Slattery, T. J., Schotter, E. R., Berry, R. W., & Rayner, K. (2011). Parafoveal and foveal processing of abbreviations during eye fixations in reading: Making a case for case. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 37, 1022-1031.

▪Staub, A. (2007). The parser doesn't ignore intransitivity, after all. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 33, 550-569.

▪Staub, A. (2010). Eye movements and processing difficulty in object relative clauses. Cognition, 116, 71-86.

▪Staub, A. (2011). The effect of lexical predictability on distributions of eye fixation durations. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 18, 371-376.

▪Staub, A., Grant, M., Clifton, C., & Rayner, K. (2009). Phonological typicality does not influence fixation durations in normal reading. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition. 35, 806-814.

▪Stine-Morrow, E. A., Shake, M. C., Miles, J. R., Lee, K., Gao, X., & McConkie, G. (2010). Pay now or pay later: Aging and the role of boundary salience in self-regulation of conceptual integration in sentence processing.. Psychology & Aging, 25, 168-176.

▪Sturt, P., Keller, F., & Dubey, A. (2010). Syntactic priming in comprehension: Parallelism effects with and without coordination. Journal of Memory and Language, 62, 333-351.

▪Sung, Y.-C., & Tang, D.-L. (2007). Unconscious processing embedded in conscious processing: Evidence from gaze time on Chinese sentence reading. Consciousness and Cognition, 16, 339-348.

▪Tsai, J.-L., Lee, C.-Y., Tzeng, O. J. L., Hung, D. L., & Yen, M.-S. (2004). Use of phonological codes for Chinese characters: Evidence from processing of parafoveal preview when reading sentences. Brain and Language, 91, 235-244.

▪Tsai, J.-L., & McConkie, G. W. (2003). Where do Chinese readers send their eyes. In J. Hyönä, R. Radach & H. Deubel (Eds), The Mind's Eyes: Cognitive and Applied Aspects of Eye Movement Research (pp. 159-176). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers.

▪Vainio, S., Bertram, R., Pajunen, A., & Hyönä, J. (2011). Processing modifier-head agreement in long Finnish words: Evidence from eye movements. Acta Linguistica Hungarica, 58, 134-156.

▪Vainio, S., Hyönä, J., & Pajunen, A. (2003). Facilitatory and inhibitory effects of grammatical agreement: Evidence from readers' eye fixation patterns. Brain and Language, 85, 197-202.

▪Vainio, S., Hyönä, J., & Pajunen, A. (2008). Processing modifier-head agreement in reading: Evidence for a delayed effect of agreement. Memory & Cognition, 36, 329-340.

▪Vainio, S., Hyönä, J., & Pajunen, A. (2009). Lexical predictability exerts robust effects on fixation duration, but not on initial landing position during reading. Experimental Psychology, 56, 66-74.

▪Van Assche, E., Drieghe, D., Duyck, W., Welvaert, M., & Hartsuiker, R. J. (2011). The influence of semantic constraints on bilingual word recognition during sentence reading. Journal of Memory and Language, 64, 88-107.

▪Van Assche, E., Duyck, W., Hartsuiker, R. J., & Diependaele, K. (2009). Does bilingualism change native-language reading? Cognate effects in a sentence context. Psychological Science, 20, 923-927.

▪Van Dyke, J. A. (2007). Interference effects from grammatically unavailable

constituents during sentence processing. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 33, 407-430.

▪Vasishth, S., & Drenhaus, H. (2011). Locality in German. Dialogue and Discourse, 1, 59-82.

▪Vasishth, S., Suckow, K., Lewis, R. L., & Kern, S. (2010). Short-term forgetting in sentence comprehension: Crosslinguistic evidence from verb-final structures. Language and Cognitive Processes, 25, 533-567.

▪Vernet, M., & Kapoula, Z. (2009). Binocular motor coordination during saccades and fixations while reading: A magnitude and time analysis. Journal of Vision, 9(7):2, 1-13, http://journalofvision.org/9/7/2/, doi:10.1167/9.7.2.

▪Wang, C.-A., Inhoff, A. W., & Radach, R. (2009). Is attention confined to one word at a time? The spatial distribution of parafoveal preview benefits during reading. Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics, 71, 1487-1494.

▪Wang, C.-A., Tsai, J.-L., Inhoff, A. W., & Tzeng, O. J.-L. (2009). Acquisition of linguistic information to the left of fixation during the reading of Chinese text. Language and Cognitive Processes, 24, 1097-1123.

▪Wang, H.-C., Pomplun, M., Chen, M., Ko, H., & Rayner, K. (2010). Estimating the effect of word predictability on eye movements in Chinese reading using latent semantic analysis and transitional probability. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 1374-1386.

▪Wang, S., Chen, H.-C., Yang, J., & Mo, L. (2008). Immediacy of integration in discourse comprehension: Evidence from Chinese readers' eye movements. Language and Cognitive Processes, 23, 241-257.

▪Warren, T., McConnell, K., & Rayner, K. (2008). Effects of context on eye movements when reading about possible and impossible events. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 34, 1001-1010.

▪Warren, T., Reichle, E., & Patson, N. (2011). Lexical and post-lexical complexity effects on eye movements in reading. Journal of Eye Movement Research, 4(1):3, 1-10.

▪Warren, T., White, S. J., & Reichle, E. D. (2009). Investigating the causes of wrap-up effects: Evidence from eye movements and E-Z Reader. Cognition, 111, 132-137.

▪Weger, U. W., & Inhoff, A. W. (2006). Attention and eye movements in reading: Inhibition of return predicts the size of regressive saccades. Psychological Science, 17, 187-191.

▪Weger, U. W., & Inhoff, A. W. (2007). Long-range regressions to previously read words are guided by spatial and verbal memory. Memory & Cognition, 35, 1293-1306.

▪White, S. J., Bertram, R., & Hyönä, J. (2008). Semantic processing of previews within compound words. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition, 34, 988-993.

▪Winskel, H. (2009). Reading in Thai: the case of misaligned vowels. Reading and Writing, 22, 1-24.

▪Winskel, H., Radach, R., & Luksaneeyanawin, S. (2009). Eye movements when reading spaced and unspaced Thai and English: A comparison of Thai-English bilinguals and English monolinguals. Journal of Memory and Language, 61, 339-351.

▪Wong, K. F. E., & Chen, H.-C. (1999). Orthographic and phonological processing in reading Chinese text: Evidence from eye fixations. Language and Cognitive Processes, 14, 461-480.

▪Yan, G., Tian, H., Bai, X., & Rayner, K. (2006). The effect of word and character

frequency on the eye movements of Chinese readers. British Journal of Psychology, 97, 259-268.

▪Yan, M., Kliegl, R., Richter, E., Nuthmann, A., & Shu, H. (2010). Flexible saccade-target selection in Chinese reading. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 705-725.

▪Yan, M., Richter, E., Shu, H., & Kliegl, R. (2009). Chinese readers extract semantic information from parafoveal words during reading. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 16, 561-566.

▪Yang, J., Wang, S., Chen, H.-C., & Rayner, K. (2009). The time course of semantic and syntactic processing in Chinese sentence comprehension: Evidence from eye movements. Memory & Cognition, 37, 1164-1176.

▪Yang, J., Wang, S., Xu, Y., & Rayner, K. (2009). Do Chinese readers obtain preview benefit from word n + 2? Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance. 35, 1192-1204.

▪Yang, S.-N. (2009). Effects of gaze-contingent text changes on fixation duration in reading. Vision Research, 49, 2843-2855.

▪Yang, S.-N., & McConkie, G. W. (2001). Eye movements during reading: A theory of saccade initiation times. Vision Research, 41, 3567-3585.

▪Yang, S.-N., & McConkie, G. W. (2004) Saccade generation during reading: Are words necessary? European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 16, 226 - 261.

▪Yatabe, K., Pickering, M. J., & McDonald, S. A. (2009). Lexical processing during saccades in text comprehension. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 16, 62 - 66.

Y▪ates, M., Friend, J., & Ploetz, D. M. (2008). The effect of phonological neighborhood density on eye movements during reading. Cognition, 107, 685-692.

▪Yen, M.-H., Tsai, J.-L., Tzeng, O. J.-L., & Hung, D. L. (2008). Eye movements and parafoveal word processing in reading Chinese. Memory & Cognition, 36, 1033-1045.

▪Zhou, P., & Gao, L. (2009). Scope processing in Chinese. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 38, 11-24.

Altmann, G. T. M. (2004). Language-mediated eye movements in the absence of a visual world: the 'blank screen paradigm'. Cognition, 93, B79-B87.

▪Altmann, G. T. M. (2011). Language can mediate eye movement control within 100 milliseconds, regardless of whether there is anything to move the eyes to. Acta Psychologica, 137, 190-200.

▪Altmann, G. T. M., & Kamide, Y. (1999). Incremental interpretation at verbs: Restricting the domain of subsequent reference. Cognition, 73, 247-264.

▪Altmann, G. T .M., & Kamide, Y. (2007). The real-time mediation of visual attention by language and world knowledge: Linking anticipatory (and other) eye movements to linguistic processing. Journal of Memory and Language, 57, 502-518.

▪Altmann, G. T. M., & Kamide, Y. (2009). Discourse-mediation of the mapping between language and the visual world: Eye movements and mental representation. Cognition, 111, 55-71.

▪Andersson, R., Ferreira, F., & Henderson, J. M. (2011). I see what you're saying: The integration of complex speech and scenes during language comprehension. Acta Psychologica, 137, 208-216.

▪Apfelbaum, K. S., Blumstein, S. E., & McMurray, B. (2011). Semantic priming is affected by real-time phonological competition: Evidence for continuous cascading systems. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 18, 141-149.

▪Arai, M., van Gompel, R. P. G., & Scheepers, C. (2007). Priming ditransitive

structures in comprehension. Cognitive Psychology, 54, 218-250.

■Arnold, J. E. (2008). THE BACON not the bacon: How children and adults understand accented and unaccented noun phrases. Cognition, 108, 69-99.

■Arnold, J. E., Kam, C. L. H., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2007). If you say thee uh- you're describing something hard: the on-line attribution of disfluency during reference comprehension. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 33, 914-930.

■Arnold, J. E., & Lao, S.-Y. C. (2008). Put in last position something previously unmentioned: Word order effects on referential expectancy and reference comprehension. Language and Cognitive Processes, 23, 282-295.

■Barr, D. J. (2008). Pragmatic expectations and linguistic evidence: Listeners anticipate but do not integrate common ground. Cognition, 109, 18-40.

■Barr, D. J., & Keysar, B. (2002). Anchoring comprehension in linguistic precedents. Journal of Memory and Language, 46, 391-418.

■Ben-David, B. M., Chambers, C., Daneman, M., Pichora-Fuller, M. K., Reingold, E., & Schneider, B. A. (2011). Effects of aging and noise on real-time spoken word recognition: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 54, 243-262.

■Brown-Schmidt, S. (2009). Partner-specific interpretation of maintained referential precedents during interactive dialog. Journal of Memory and Language, 61, 171-190.

■Brown-Schmidt, S. (2009). The role of executive function in perspective taking during online language comprehension. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 16, 893-900.

■Brown-Schmidt, S., Gunlogson, C., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2008). Addressees distinguish shared from private information when interpreting questions during interactive conversation. Cognition, 107, 1122-1134.

■Brown-Schmidt, S., & Konopka, A. E. (2011). Experimental approaches to referential domains and the on-line processing of referring expressions in unscripted conversation. Information, 2, 302-326; doi:10.3390/info2020302.

■Carminati, M. N., van Gompel, R. P. G., Scheepers, C., & Arai, M. (2008). Syntactic priming in comprehension: The role of argument order and animacy. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 34, 1098-1110.

■Chambers, C. G., & Cooke, H. (2009). Lexical competition during second-language listening: Sentence context, but not proficiency, constrains interference from the native lexicon. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 35, 1029-1040.

■Chen, A., den Os, E., & de Ruiter, J. P. (2007). Pitch accent type matters for online processing of information status: Evidence from natural and synthetic speech. The Linguistic Review, 24, 317-344.

■Chen, L., & Boland, J. (2008). Dominance and context effects on activation of alternative homophone meanings. Memory & Cognition, 36, 1306-1323.

■Clayards, M., Tanenhaus, M. K., Aslin, R. N., & Jacobs, R. A. (2008). Perception of speech reflects optimal use of probabilistic speech cues. Cognition, 108, 804-809.

■Corley, M. (2010). Making predictions from speech with repairs: evidence from eye movements. Language and Cognitive Processes, 25, 706-727.

■Cozijn, R., Commandeur, E., Vonk, W., & Noordman, L. G. M. (2011). The time course of the use of implicit causality information in the processing of pronouns: A visual world paradigm study. Journal of Memory and Language, 64, 381-403.

■Creel, S. C., Aslin, R. N., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2008). Heeding the voice of

experience: The role of talker variation in lexical access. Cognition, 106, 633-664.

▪Creel, S. C., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2006). Consequences of lexical stress on learning an artificial lexicon. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 32, 15-32.

▪Cutler, A., Weber, A., & Otake, T. (2006). Asymmetric mapping from phonetic to lexical representations in second-language listening. Journal of Phonetics, 34, 269-284.

▪Dahan, D., Drucker, S. J., & Scarborough, R. A. (2008). Talker adaptation in speech perception: Adjusting the signal or the representations? Cognition. 108, 710-718.

▪Dahan, D., & Gaskell, M. G. (2007). The temporal dynamics of ambiguity resolution: Evidence from spoken-word recognition. Journal of Memory and Language, 57, 483-501.

▪Dahan, D., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2004). Continuous mapping from sound to meaning in spoken-language comprehension: Immediate effects of verb-based thematic constraints. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 30, 498-513.

▪Dahan, D., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2005). Looking at the rope when looking for the snake: Conceptually mediated eye movements during spoken-word recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 12, 453-459.

▪Duñabeitia, J. A., Avilés, A., Afonso, O., Scheepers, C., & Carreiras, M. (2009). Qualitative differences in the representation of abstract versus concrete words: Evidence from the visual-world paradigm. Cognition, 110, 284-292.

▪Engelhardt, P. E., Ferreira, F., & Patsenko, E. G. (2010). Pupillometry reveals processing load during spoken language comprehension. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 639-645.

▪Escudero, P., Hayes-Harb, R., & Mitterer, H. (2008). Novel second-language words and asymmetric lexical access. Journal of Phonetics, 36, 345-360.

▪Ferguson, H. J., Scheepers, C., & Sanford, A. J. (2010). Expectations in counterfactual and theory of mind reasoning. Language and Cognitive Processes, 25, 297-346.

▪Griffin, Z. M., & Bock, K. (2000). What the eyes say about speaking. Psychological Science, 11, 274-279.

▪Grodner, D. J., Klein, N. M., Carbary, K. M., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2010). "Some",and possibly all, scalar inferences are not delayed: Evidence for immediate pragmatic enrichment. Cognition, 116, 42-55.

▪Huettig, F., & Altmann, G. T. M. (2005). Word meaning and the control of eye fixation: semantic competitor effects and the visual world paradigm. Cognition, 96, B23-B32.

▪Huettig, F., & Altmann, G. T. M. (2007). Visual-shape competition during language-mediated attention is based on lexical input and not modulated by contextual appropriateness. Visual Cognition, 15, 985 - 1018.

▪Huettig, F., & Altmann, G. T. M. (2011). Looking at anything that is green when hearing "frog": How object surface colour and stored object colour knowledge influence language-mediated overt attention. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 64, 122-145.

▪Huettig, F., Chen, J., Bowerman, M., & Majid, A. (2010). Do language-specific categories shape conceptual processing? Mandarin classifier distinctions influence eye gaze behavior, but only during linguistic processing. Journal of Cognition and Culture, 10, 39-58.

▪Huettig, F., & McQueen, J. M. (2007). The tug of war between phonological, semantic and shape information in language-mediated visual search. Journal of Memory and Language, 57, 460-482.

H▪uettig, F., Quinlan, P. T., McDonald, S. A., & Altmann, G. T. M. (2006). Models of high-dimensional semantic space predict language-mediated eye movements in the visual world. Acta Psychologica, 121, 65-80.

▪Isaacs, A. M., & Watson, D. G. (2010). Accent detection is a slippery slope: Direction and rate of F0 change drives listeners' comprehension. Language and Cognitive Processes, 25, 1178-1200.

▪Järvikivi, J., van Gompel, R. P. G., Hyönä, J., & Bertram, R. (2005). Ambiguous pronoun resolution: Contrasting the first-mention and subject-preference accounts. Psychological Science, 16, 260-264.

▪Ju, M., & Luce, P. A. (2004). Falling on sensitive ears: Constraints on bilingual lexical activation. Psychological Science, 15, 314-318.

▪Kamide, Y., Altmann, G. T. M., & Haywood, S. L. (2003). The time-course of prediction in incremental sentence processing: Evidence from anticipatory eye movements. Journal of Memory and Language, 49, 133-156.

▪Kamide, Y., Scheepers, C., &. Altmann, G. T. M. (2003). Integration of syntactic and semantic information in predictive processing: Cross-linguistic evidence from German and English. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 32, 37-55.

▪Knoeferle, P., & Crocker, M. (2006). The coordinated interplay of scene, utterance, and world knowledge: evidence from eye tracking. Cognitive Science, 30, 481-529.

▪Knoeferle, P., & Crocker, M. W. (2007). The influence of recent scene events on spoken comprehension: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Memory and Language, 57, 519-543.

▪Knoeferle, P., Crocker, M., Pickering, M., & Scheepers, C. (2005). The influence of the immediate visual context on incremental thematic role-assignment: Evidence from eye-movements in depicted events. Cognition, 95, 95-127.

▪Magnuson, J. S., Dixon, J. A., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2007). The Dynamics of lexical competition during spoken word recognition. Cognitive Science, 31, 1-24.

▪Magnuson, J. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., Aslin, R. N., & Dahan, D. (2003). The time course of spoken word learning and recognition: Studies with artificial lexicons. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 132, 202-227.

▪Magnuson, J. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2008). Immediate effects of form-class constraints on spoken word recognition. Cognition, 108, 866-873.

▪McMurray, B., Aslin, R. N., Tanenhaus, M. K., Spivey, M. J., & Subik, D. (2008). Gradient sensitivity to within-category variation in words and syllables. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 34, 1609-1631.

▪McMurray, B., Clayards, M. A., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2008). Tracking the time course of phonetic cue integration during spoken word recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 15 1064 - 1071.

▪McMurray, B., Samelson, V. M., Lee, S. H., & Tomblin, J. B. (2010). Individual differences in online spoken word recognition: Implications for SLI. Cognitive Psychology, 60, 1-39.

▪McMurray, B., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2002). Gradient effects of within-category phonetic variation on lexical access. Cognition, 86, B33-B42.

McMurray, B., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2009). Within-category VOT affects recovery from "lexical" garden-paths: Evidence against phoneme-level inhibition.

Journal of Memory and Language, 60, 65-91.

▪McQueen, J. M., & Viebahn, M. C. (2007). Tracking recognition of spoken words by tracking looks to printed words. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 60, 661 - 671.

▪Mirman, D., Yee, E., Blumstein, S. E., & Magnuson, J. S. (2011). Theories of spoken word recognition deficits in Aphasia: Evidence from eye-tracking and computational modeling. Brain and Language, 117, 53-68.

▪Mitterer, H. (2011). The mental lexicon is fully specified: Evidence from eye-tracking. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 37, 496-513.

▪Mitterer, H., & McQueen, J. M. (2009) Processing reduced word-forms in speech perception using probabilistic knowledge about speech production. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance, 35, 244-263.

▪Myung, J.-Y., Blumstein, S. E., & Sedivy, J. C. (2006). Playing on the typewriter, typing on the piano: Manipulation knowledge of objects. Cognition, 98, 223-243.

▪Myung, J.-Y., Blumstein, S. E., Yee, E., Sedivy, J. C., Thompson-Schill, S. L., & Buxbaum, L. J. (2010). Impaired access to manipulation features in Apraxia: Evidence from eyetracking and semantic judgment tasks. Brain and Language, 112, 101-112.

▪Pyykkönen, P., Hyönä, J., & van Gompel, R.P.G. (2010). Activating gender stereotypes during online spoken language processing: Evidence from visual world eye-tracking. Experimental Psychology, 57, 126-133.

▪Pyykkönen, P., & Järvikivi, J. (2010). Activation and persistence of implicit causality information in spoken language comprehension. Experimental Psychology, 57, 5-16.

▪Reinisch, E., Jesse, A., & McQueen, J. M. (2010). Early use of phonetic information in spoken word recognition: Lexical stress drives eye movements immediately. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 772-783.

▪Reinisch, E., Jesse, A., & McQueen, J. M. (2011). Speaking rate from proximal and distal contexts is used during word segmentation. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 37, 978-996.

▪Revill, K. P., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Aslin, R. N. (2008). Context and spoken word recognition in a novel lexicon. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 34, 1207-1223.

▪Salverda, A. P., Dahan, D., & McQueen, J. M. (2003). The role of prosodic boundaries in the resolution of lexical embedding in speech comprehension. Cognition, 90, 51-89.

▪Salverda, A. P., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2010). Tracking the time course of orthographic information in spoken-word recognition. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 36, 1108-1117.

▪Scheepers, C., Keller, F., & Lapata, M. (2008). Evidence for serial coercion: A time course analysis using the visual-world paradigm. Cognitive Psychology, 56, 1-29.

▪Shatzman, K. B., & McQueen, J. M. (2006). The modulation of lexical competition by segment duration. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 13, 966-971.

▪Shatzman, K. B., & McQueen, J. M. (2006). Prosodic knowledge affects the recognition of newly acquired words. Psychological Science, 17, 372-377.

▪Shatzman, K. B., & McQueen, J. M. (2006). Segment duration as a cue to word boundaries in spoken-word recognition. Perception & Psychophysics, 68, 1-16.

▪Staudte, M., & Crocker, M. W. (2011). Investigating joint attention mechanisms through spoken human-robot interaction. Cognition, 120, 268-291.

■Tremblay, A. (2011). Learning to parse liaison-initial words: An eye-tracking study. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 14, 257-279.

■Tsang, Y.-K., & Chen, H.-C. (2010). Morphemic ambiguity resolution in Chinese: Activation of the subordinate meaning with a prior dominant-biased context. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 17, 875-881.

■Weber, A., Grice, M., & Crocker, M. W. (2006). The role of prosody in the interpretation of structural ambiguities: A study of anticipatory eye movements. Cognition, 99, B63-B72.

■Weber, A., Braun, B., & Crocker, M. (2006). Finding referents in time: Eye-tracking evidence for the role of contrastive accents. Language and Speech, 49, 367-392.

■Weber, A., & Cutler, A. (2004). Lexical competition in non-native spoken-word recognition. Journal of Memory and Language, 50, 1, 1-25.

■Wonnacott, E., Newport, E. L., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2008). Acquiring and processing verb argument structure: Distributional learning in a miniature language. Cognitive Psychology, 56, 165-209.

■Yee, E., Blumstein, S., & Sedivy, J. C. (2008). Lexical-semantic activation in Broca's and Wernicke's aphasia: Evidence from eye movements. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 20, 592-612.

■Yee, E., Overton, E., & Thompson-Schill, S. L. (2009). Looking for meaning: Eye movements are sensitive to overlapping semantic features, not association. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 16, 869-874.

■Yee, E., & Sedivy, J. C. (2006). Eye movements to pictures reveal transient semantic activation during spoken word recognition. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 32, 1-14.

■Alamargot, D., Plane, S., Lambert, E., & Chesnet, D. (2010). Using eye and pen movements to trace the development of writing expertise: case studies of a 7th, 9th and 12th grader, graduate student, and professional writer. Reading and Writing, 23, 853-888.

■Belke, E. (2006). Visual determinants of preferred adjective order. Visual Cognition, 14, 261-294.

■Belke, E., Meyer, A. S., & Damian, M. F. (2005). Refractory effects in picture naming as assessed in a semantic blocking paradigm. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 58A, 667-692.

■Bölte, J., Böhl, A., Dobel, C., & Zwitserlood, P. (2009). Effects of referential ambiguity, time constraints and addressee orientation on the production of morphologically complex words. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 21, 1166-1199.

■Huettig, F., & Hartsuiker, R. J. (2008). When you name the pizza you look at the coin and the bread: Eye movements reveal semantic activation during word production. Memory & Cognition, 36, 341-360.

■Huettig, F., & Hartsuiker, R. J. (2010). Listening to yourself is like listening to others: External, but not internal, verbal self-monitoring is based on speech perception. Language and Cognitive Processes, 25, 347-374.

■Järvilehto, T., Nurkkala, V.-M., & Koskela, K. (2009). The role of anticipation in reading. Pragmatics & Cognition, 17, 509-526.

■Korvorst, M., Roelofs, A., & Levelt, W. J. M. (2006) Incrementality in naming and reading complex numerals: Evidence from eyetracking. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 59, 296-311.

■Kuchinsky, S. E., Bock, K., & Irwin, D. E. (2011). Reversing the hands of time:

Changing the mapping from seeing to saying. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 37, 748-756.

▪Malpass, D., & Meyer, A. S. (2010). The time course of name retrieval during multiple-object naming: Evidence from extrafoveal-on-foveal effects. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 36, 523-537.

▪Meyer, A. S., Belke, E., Häcker, C., & Mortensen, L. (2007). Use of word length information in utterance planning. Journal of Memory and Language, 57, 210-231.

▪Meyer, A. S., Ouellet, M., & Häcker, C. (2008). Parallel processing of objects in a naming task. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 34, 982-987.

▪Meyer, A. S., Roelofs, A., & Levelt, W. J. M. (2003). Word length effects in object naming: The role of a response criterion. Journal of Memory and Language, 48, 131-147.

▪Meyer, A. S., Sleiderink, A. M., & Levelt, W. J. M. (1998). Viewing and naming objects: eye movements during noun phrase production. Cognition, 66, B25-B33.

▪Meyer, A. S., & van der Meulen, F. F. (2000). Phonological priming effects on speech onset latencies and viewing times in object naming. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 7, 314-319.

▪Meyer, A. S., van der Meulen, F. F., & Brooks, A. (2004). Eye movements during speech planning: Talking about present and remembered objects. Visual Cognition, 11, 553 - 576.

▪Morgan, J. L., & Meyer, A. S. (2005). Processing of extrafoveal objects during multiple-object naming. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 31, 428-442.

▪Morgan, J. L., van Elswijk, G., & Meyer, A. S. (2008). Extrafoveal processing of objects in a naming task: Evidence from word probe experiments. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 15, 561-565.

▪Nottbusch, G. (2010). Grammatical planning, execution, and control in written sentence production. Reading and Writing, 23, 777-801.

▪Roelofs, A. (2007). Attention and gaze control in picture naming, word reading, and word categorizing. Journal of Memory and Language, 57, 232-251.

▪Roelofs, A. (2008). Tracing attention and the activation flow in spoken word planning using eye movements. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 34, 353-368.

▪Roelofs, A. (2008). Attention, gaze shifting, and dual-task interference from phonological encoding in spoken word planning. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 34, 1580-1598.

▪Roelofs, A. (2011). Attention, exposure duration, and gaze shifting in naming performance. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 37, 860-873.

▪van der Meulen, F. F., Meyer, A. S., & Levelt, W. J. M. (2001). Eye movements during the production of nouns and pronouns. Memory & Cognition, 29, 512-521.

▪Van Waes, L., Leijten, M., & Quinlan, T. (2010). Reading during sentence composing and error correction: A multilevel analysis of the influences of task complexity. Reading and Writing, 23, 803-834.